

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6480 Case file # 95-253567.

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea. No transcript.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

141

Independent Review conducted by: Steve RobertsonArea(s) of Expertise: Hair and FiberReview commenced at: 1:30 PM (Time), 03/15/2001 (Date)File #: 95-253567Laboratory #(s): 3012405531014035**Examiner(s) & Symbols**

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>UL, MW</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____

Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 30124055Date: May 13, 1983Laboratory Number: 31014035Date: Nov 4, 1983

Laboratory Number: _____

Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQLaboratory Number: 3012405531014035Page 1 of 3Initials: SW

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

142

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letters (dated 1-14-83 and 10-7-83)

Results of Review

File #: 95-253567

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q2-Q21, Q26, K1, K2, K4, K5

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.)

Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

143

File #: 95-253567

#1: With microscopic hair comparison, even with the best notes, there is no way to determine the comparison was performed correctly.

#2: The examination results set forth in the laboratory report are supported by the bench notes, but the documentation is marginally adequate. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil. The technician's notes do not document that hair was recovered from items Q2-Q21.

Review completed at: 1:45 PM (Time), 03/15/2001 (Date)Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0:15 hours

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts
(Signature)

03/15/2001
(Date)

Page 3 of 3Initials: SR